

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,134	07/31/2003	Alexander Boukas	1837	
7:	590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Thomas A. O'Rourke			WITCZAK, CATHERINE	
Bodner & O'Rourke Suite 108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
425 Broadhollow Rd.			3767	
Melville, NY	11747		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIPE	•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/632,134	BOUKAS, ALEXANDER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Catherine N. Witczak	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/632,134

Art Unit: 3767

Election/Restrictions

A telephone call was made to Tom O'Rourke on 7/25/2006 to request an oral election to the

above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the

reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even

though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6-11, 13, 19 and 20 drawn to a fluid removal apparatus, classified in class Ĭ.

604, subclass 118.

Claims 14-18, drawn to a container, classified in class 221, subclass 281. II.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship

are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the

subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in

other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the fluid removal apparatus can be used with

container without a filter. The subcombination has separate utility such as a storage device.

Furthermore, if Applicant elects group I, the claims are further subject to a species election, as the

application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figure 1

Species II: Figures 2-5

Species III: Figures 6-10

Application/Control Number: 10/632,134

Art Unit: 3767

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on

the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species

that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any

claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is

considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to

additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an

allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should

submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or

clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Catherine N. Witczak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7179. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

M

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/632,134

Art Unit: 3767

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

cw

KEVIN C. SIRMONS

SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER